



The New York State Society of Anesthesiologists, Inc.

110 East 40th Street, Suite 300, New York, NY 10016 USA

TELEPHONE: 212-867-7140 | FAX: 929-205-7836 | WEBSITE: www.nyssa-pga.org

MEMO IN OPPOSITION S0769 (Cooney) CRNA Collaborative Practice

The New York State Society of Anesthesiologists urges you to **REJECT** legislation that would compromise patient safety and create a two tiered standard of anesthesia care for patients in New York State.

- **This bill renders null and void the existing and time-tested standard of anesthesia care that has resulted in dramatically improved patient safety.**
 - Under existing law¹, established nearly 30 years ago, a patient undergoing any medical treatment requiring anesthesia is guaranteed a standard of care that requires a physician anesthesiologist to administer the anesthetic or to supervise a nurse anesthetist in the administration of anesthesia, or the operative surgeon accepts responsibility for supervising a nurse anesthetist.
 - With this proposal, the sponsors are:
 - Removing the physician anesthesiologist entirely from the treatment team, including the preoperative assessment of the patient, the preparation of the anesthetic plan, and post-anesthesia care; and
 - Removing the physician's longstanding role to direct the administration of anesthesia services in a hospital or ambulatory surgical center (ASC) – conflicting with the standard established by the NYS Health Code.
 - Instead, the sponsors are promoting a model of anesthesia care wherein a nurse anesthetist independently administers anesthesia, without a physician anesthesiologist immediately available or an operative surgeon accepting responsibility for the supervision of the nurse anesthetist, through a delivery care model that:
 - Has never been tested in the operating room environment in New York state;
 - Will lower the standard of care;
 - Fails to address critical issues that arise in the operating room;
 - Does not provide supporting independent analysis, peer-reviewed studies or data to support this radical policy change; and
 - Will impact every patient undergoing a surgical procedure with anesthesia.
- **This model of anesthesia care creates a new, unproven, and lower standard of care in New York state.**
 - The sponsors' proposals will create a two-tier anesthesia delivery system; without a statewide uniform requirement as currently exists, hospitals and ASCs will be free to permit nurse anesthetists to administer anesthesia independently, a decision that could affect patients based on their location, more particularly the marginalized community, or based on the patient's insurance status or other economic considerations. This could intensify conditions in communities already experiencing a lower standard of healthcare.

¹ See NYCRR Section 405.13(a)(1) (Hospitals); NYCRR Section 755.4 (Ambulatory Surgery Centers) [Subject to Executive Order No. 4]

LEGISLATIVE REPRESENTATION

Charles J. Assini, Jr., Esq. | NYSSA Legislative Counsel and Representative | 1036 Onondaga Road, Niskayuna, NY 12309
TELEPHONE: 518-461-3680 | E-MAIL: Chuck@AssiniLaw.com and cc: Grace@AssiniLaw.com

Reid, McNally & Savage, LLC | NYSSA Lobbyists | 1 Commerce Plaza, Suite 402, Albany, New York 12210
TELEPHONE: 518-465-7330 | FAX: 518-465-0273 | E-MAIL: Bobr@lobbywr.com and cc: Kellyk@lobbywr.com | WEBSITE: www.lobbywr.com

- The collaborative physician is not required to be immediately available or present. The operating room is a unique healthcare environment. If a patient undergoing anesthesia develops life-threatening complications, immediate medical intervention is required, which will not be accomplished. An independent study published in the peer-reviewed journal *Anesthesiology* found that mortality and failure-to-rescue rates were higher for patients who underwent operations without medical direction by a physician anesthesiologist (*Silber JH, Kennedy SK, Even-Shoshan O, Chen W, Koziol LFL, Showan AM, Longnecker DE: Anesthesiologist direction and patient outcomes. Anesthesiology 2000; 93: 152-63*).
- The collaborating physician need not be a physician anesthesiologist.
- The collaborative party can be a hospital with absolutely no restrictions as to the number of nurse anesthetists with whom it can collaborate, if the nurse anesthetists have been practicing for more than 3,600 hours.

- **This proposal is being advanced based upon two fundamental misconceptions.**
 - **This proposal is not consistent with the extent of a nurse anesthetist's training and existing practice, nor is it consistent with other states.**
 - This bill represents an inappropriate expansion of the nurse anesthetist's practice by permitting independent practice, including the right to (i) prescribe narcotics and develop the anesthesia plan, during the "peri-anesthetic period" when the role of a physician anesthesiologist is most critical to assess the patient's tolerance for certain narcotics based on the patient's medical condition; and (ii) make pre-operative assessments (including ordering an evaluating laboratory and diagnostic tests) instead of the physician anesthesiologist who has the medical training to make critical decisions for patient safety such as immediate medical intervention, appropriate patient monitoring, or to delay surgery until the patient's medical status is suitable.
 - Nurse anesthetists are not trained as independent anesthesia providers. Clinical training of student nurse anesthetists provides the direct and personal supervision that the Health Code requires. It provides no training in independent practice and limited training on the use of narcotics. [*10 NYCRR Section 405.13(a)(1)(v): a student enrolled in a school of nurse anesthesia accredited by the Council on Accreditation of Nurse Anesthesia Education Programs may administer anesthesia as related to such course of study under the direct personal supervision of a certified registered nurse anesthetist or an anesthesiologist.*]
 - A majority of states require medical supervision or medical direction of nurse anesthetists.
 - **There are no healthcare cost savings.** Under Medicare and Medicaid, reimbursement for anesthesia services is exactly the same whether it is administered by a physician anesthesiologist or by a nurse anesthetist. Independent studies have shown that the odds of an adverse outcome are 80 percent higher when anesthesia is provided only by a nurse anesthetist as opposed to a physician anesthesiologist (*Memtsoudis SG, Ma Y, Swamidoss CP, Edwards AM, Mazumdar M, Liguori GA: "Factors influencing unexpected disposition after orthopedic ambulatory surgery." J Clin Anesth 2012; 24(2):89-95*). Adverse outcomes lead to higher costs for patients in both monetary and physical terms when patients require longer hospital stays.

- **Physician anesthesiologists are most qualified to serve as the patient's advocate.**
 - The operating room environment requires the physician anesthesiologist to be immediately available for medical interventions that save patients' lives during all surgical procedures when anesthesia is administered.
 - Anesthesia care is an inherently dangerous undertaking. Some commonly used anesthetics are 1,000 times more powerful than morphine. Emergencies can arise without warning; there are no "routine" surgical procedures.
 - Physician anesthesiologists, who have 12,000–16,000 hours of clinical training compared to a nurse anesthetist's 2,500 hours of clinical training, are best able to perform risk-benefit analysis during surgery and have the credibility to tell a surgeon whether future surgery poses a danger to the patient. This advocacy requires the knowledge of a physician.

Put patient safety first! Support medical care by medical doctors by voting NO on S0769 (Cooney)!